IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cr-00143 The Honorable Judge Ellis Next Hearing Date: Sept. 11, 2020

<u>DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION TO SUPPRESS IN EXCESS</u> <u>OF THIRTY PAGE LIMIT UNDER LOCAL RULE 47(F)(3)</u>

Zackary Ellis Sanders, by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully submits this Motion for Leave to File Motion to Suppress in Excess of Thirty Page Limit Under Local Rule 47(F)(3). In support, the defense submits the following:

As demonstrated by the extensive briefing and oral argument on Mr. Sanders's Motion to Compel in this case, *see* ECF Nos. 38, 43, 48, 57, 58, 65, 70, the issues presented in this case involve significant but inter-related factual and technical issues concerning the search warrant that Mr. Sanders challenges in his Motion to Suppress. Those issues include, among other things, technical information about the Tor network, the nature, purpose, and accessibility of that network, and law enforcement investigative techniques of Tor users. Some of these issues were introduced in the litigation of the Motion to Compel but must be addressed in further depth in the Motion to Suppress, while numerous issues have not previously been presented to the Court.

Mr. Sanders's Motion to Suppress, not including attachments, exceeds thirty pages.

While the defense has sought to streamline the Motion to Suppress, due to the numerous issues presented by the complex factual and technical background of the case, the Motion to Suppress

as drafted is in excess of the thirty pages allowed under Local Criminal Rule 47(F)(3). While there are several distinct sections of the motion (which in turn reflect distinct arguments for suppression) that could be broken down into separate motions, for the sake of convenience the defense believes they should all be presented in a single document. Therefore, the defense respectfully requests this Court allow the defense to file its Motion to Suppress in excess of the thirty page limit.

The defense believes that the Government will suffer no prejudice by the Court granting this motion. The Government has stated that it will defer to the Court on this motion.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the defense respectfully requests that this Court grant leave for the defense to file a Motion to Suppress in excess of the thirty pages allowed under Local Criminal Rule 47(F)(3).

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Jonathan Jeffress</u>

Jonathan Jeffress (#42884) Emily Voshell (#92997) Jade Chong-Smith (admitted *pro hac vice*) KaiserDillon PLLC 1099 Fourteenth St., N.W.; 8th Floor—West Washington, D.C. 20005

Telephone: (202) 683-6150 Facsimile: (202) 280-1034

Email: jjeffress@kaiserdillon.com Email: evoshell@kaiserdillon.com Email: jchong-smith@kaiserdillon.com

Counsel for Defendant Zackary Ellis Sanders

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 27th day of August, 2020, the foregoing was served electronically on the counsel of record through the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia Electronic Document Filing System (ECF) and the document is available on the ECF system.

/s/ Emily Voshell Emily Voshell

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff, v.	Case No. 1:20-cr-00143 The Honorable Judge Ellis
ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS,	
Defendant.	
[PROPOSED] ORDER	
This matter having come before the Court on the Motion for Leave to File Motion to	
Suppress in Excess of Thirty Page Limit Under Local Rule 47(F)(3). Accordingly, for good	
cause shown, the Motion for Leave to File Motion to Suppress in Excess of Thirty Page Limit	
Under Local Rule 47(F)(3) is GRANTED.	
It is so ORDERED.	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	

Date: _____

Alexandria, Virginia